arXiv:1604.03836v1 [math.GT] 13 Apr 2016

ON A QUESTION OF ETNYRE AND VAN HORN-MORRIS

TETSUYA ITO AND KEIKO KAWAMURO

Abstract. We answer Question 6.12 in [1] asked by Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris.

1. Introduction

Let S be a compact oriented surface with boundary. Let $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ denote the mapping class group of S, namely the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphisms of S that fix the boundary ∂S pointwise. Let C be a boundary component of S and let

$$c(-, C) : \mathrm{Mod}(S) \to \mathbb{Q}$$

denote the *fractional Dehn twist coefficient* (*FDTC*) of $\phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ with respect C. See Honda, Kazez and Matić's paper [3] for the definition of the FDTC. For $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we define the following sets ([1, p.344]):

$$FDTC_{r,C}(S) := \{\phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(S) \mid \phi = id_S \text{ or } c(\phi, C) \geq r\}$$

$$FDTC_r(S) := \left\{ \phi \in \mathrm{Mod}(S) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} \phi = id_S \text{ or} \\ c(\phi, C) \geq r \text{ for all boundary components } C \subset \partial S \end{array} \right\}$$

The following theorem answers [1, Question 6.12] of Etnyre and Van Horn-Morris: *For which $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $FDTC_r(S)$ forms a monoid?*

Theorem 1.1. *Let S be a surface that is not a pair of pants and has negative Euler characteristic. Let C be a boundary component of S. The set $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ (and hence $FDTC_r(S)$) is a monoid if and only if $r > 0$.*

Remark 1. If S is a pair of pants then $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ is a monoid if and only if $r \geq 0$.

Theorem 1.1 states that $FDTC_0(S)$ is not a monoid. But $FDTC_0(S)$ contains $Veer^+(S)$, the monoid of *right-veering mapping classes*.

Corollary 1.2. *We have*

$$\bigcup_{r>0} FDTC_r(S) \subsetneq Veer^+(S) \subsetneq FDTC_0(S).$$

Date: April 14, 2016.

2. Basic study of quasi-morphisms

As shown in [4, Corollary 4.17] the FTDC map $c(-, C) : \mathrm{Mod}(S) \to \mathbb{Q}$ is not a homomorphism but a quasi-morphism if the surface S has negative Euler characteristic. In order to prove Theorem 1.1 we first study general quasi-morphisms and obtain a monoid criterion (Theorem 2.2).

Let G be a group. A map $q : G \to \mathbb{R}$ is called a *quasi-morphism* if

$$D(q) := \sup_{g,h \in G} |q(gh) - q(g) - q(h)|$$

is finite. The value $D(q)$ is called the *defect* of the quasi-morphism. A quasi-morphism q is *homogeneous* if $q(g^n) = nq(g)$ for all $g \in G$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Every quasi-morphism can be modified to a homogeneous quasi-morphism by taking the limit:

$$\overline{q}(g) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{q(g^n)}{n}$$

A typical example of homogeneous quasi-morphism is the *translation number*

$$\tau : \widetilde{\mathrm{Homeo}}^+(S^1) \to \mathbb{R}$$

given by:

$$\tau(g) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g^n(0)}{n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g^n(x) - x}{n}$$

Here $\widetilde{\mathrm{Homeo}}^+(S^1)$ is the group of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}$ that are lifts of orientation-preserving homeomorphisms of S^1. The limit $\tau(g)$ does not depend on the choice of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. An important property of τ we will use is that

$(*)$ if $0 < \tau(g)$ then $x < g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Given a quasi-morphism $q : G \to \mathbb{R}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$G_r := \{g \in G \mid g = id_G \text{ or } q(g) \geq r\}.$$

It is easy to see that:

Proposition 2.1. *The set G_r forms a monoid if $r \geq D(q)$.*

The following Theorem 2.2 gives another monoid criterion for G_r. We will later apply Theorem 2.2 to the quasi-morphism $c(-, C)$ and prove Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $q : G \to \mathbb{R}$ be a homogeneous quasi-morphism which is a pull-back of the translation number quasi-morphism τ, namely, there is a homomorphism $f : G \to \widetilde{Homeo}^+(S^1)$ such that $q = \tau \circ f$. Then G_r forms a monoid for $r > 0$.*

Proof. Let $r > 0$. Assume to the contrary that G_r id not a monoid. There exist $g, h \in G_r$ such that $gh \notin G_r$. That is $q(gh) < r \leq q(g), q(h)$. Take an integer $n > 0$ so that

$$q(g^n) - q((gh)^n) = n(q(g) - q(gh)) > D(q). \tag{2.1}$$

By the definition of the defect we have

$$\left|q(g^{-n}(gh)^n) + q(g^n) - q((gh)^n)\right| \leq D(q). \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.1) and (2.2) we get

$$q(g^{-n}(gh)^n) \leq -q(g^n) + q((gh)^n) + D(q) < -D(q) + D(q) = 0.$$

Let $G = f(g)$ and $H = f(h)$. By the property $(*)$ we have $(G^{-n}(GH)^n)(0) < 0$.

On the other hand, since $0 < r \leq q(h) = \tau(H)$ by the property $(*)$ again we have $x < H(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore $(GH)^n(0) > G^n(0)$ and

$$(G^{-n}(GH)^n)(0) > (G^{-n}G^n)(0) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

3. Proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to [4, Theorem 4.16], if $\chi(S) < 0$ the FDTC has $c(\phi, C) = (\tau \circ \Theta_C)(\phi)$ for some homomorphism $\Theta_C : \mathrm{Mod}(S) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{Homeo}}^+(S^1)$. This fact along with Theorem 2.2 shows that $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ is a monoid if $\chi(S) < 0$ and $r > 0$. Since $FDTC_r(S) = \bigcap_{C \subset \partial S} FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ the set $FTDC_r(S)$ is also a monoid if $\chi(S) < 0$ and $r > 0$.

Next we show that $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ is not a monoid for $r \leq 0$. For any non-separating simple closed curve γ and any boundary component C' of S we have $c(T_\gamma^{\pm 1}, C') = 0$. Therefore we have $T_\gamma^{\pm 1} \in FDTC_0(S) \subset FDTC_r(S)$, i.e.,

$$T_\gamma^{\pm 1} \in FDTC_{0,C}(S) \subset FDTC_{r,C}(S). \tag{3.1}$$

(Case 1) Recall that for any surface of genus $g \geq 2$ the group $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is generated by Dehn twists along non-separating curves (see p.114 of [2]). If $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ were a monoid then this fact and (3.1) imply that $FDTC_{0,C}(S) = FDTC_{r,C}(S) = \mathrm{Mod}(S)$ which is clearly absurd. Thus $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ is not a monoid if $g \geq 2$ and $r \leq 0$.

(Case 2) If $g = 0$ and $|\partial S| = 4$ let a, b, c, d be the boundary components and x, y, z be the simple closed curves as shown in Figure 1-(1). Let $r \leq 0$ and $C \in \{a, b, c, d\}$. Since x, y, z are non-separating

$$T_x^{\pm 1}, T_y^{\pm 1}, T_z^{\pm 1} \in FDTC_{0,C}(S) \subset FDTC_{r,C}(S).$$

By the *lantern relation*, for any positive integer n with $-n < r$ we have

$$c((T_xT_yT_z)^{-n}, C) = c(T_a^{-n}T_b^{-n}T_c^{-n}T_d^{-n}, C) = -n$$

thus $(T_xT_yT_z)^{-n} \notin FDTC_{r,C}(S)$. This shows that $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ is not a monoid for all $r \leq 0$ and $C \in \{a, b, c, d\}$.



FIGURE 1. (1) Case 2. (2) Case 3. (3) Case 5.

(Case 3) If $g = 0$ and $n = |\partial S| > 4$ add $n - 3$ additional boundary components $a_1, \ldots, a_{n-3}$ in the place of a as shown in Figure 1-(2). By a similar argument using the lantern relation we can show that $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ is not a monoid for all $r \leq 0$ and any $C = b, c, d$. By the symmetry of the surface we can further show that $FDTC_{r,C}(S)$ is not a monoid for all $r \leq 0$ and $C = a_1, \ldots, a_{n-3}$.

(Case 4) If $g = 1$ and $|\partial S| = 1$ the group $\mathrm{Mod}(S)$ is generated by Dehn twists about non-separating curves. Thus this case is subsumed into Case 1.

(Case 5) If $g = 1$ and $|\partial S| \geq 2$ applying the 3-chain relation [2, Proposition 4.12] to the curves in Figure 1-(3) we get $c((T_aT_bT_c)^{-4n}, d_1) = c((T_{d_1})^{-n}(T_{d_2})^{-n}, d_1) = -n$. By the same argument as in Case 2 we can show that $FDTC_{r,d_1}(S)$ is not a monoid for all $r \leq 0$.

Parallel arguments show that $FDTC_r(S)$ does not form a monoid for $r \leq 0$. $\square$

We close the paper by proving Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Let $\gamma \subset S$ be a non-separating simple closed curve. By (3.1) we observe that

$$T_\gamma \in Veer^+(S) \setminus (\bigcup_{r>0} FDTC_r(S)) \text{ and } T_\gamma^{-1} \in FDTC_0(S) \setminus Veer^+(S).$$

□

Acknowledgements

The authors thank John Etnyre for pointing out an error in an early draft of the paper. TI was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 15K17540. KK was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1206770.

References

[1] John B. Etnyre and Jeremy Van Horn-Morris, *Monoids in the mapping class group.* Geom. Topol. Monographs 19 (2015) 319-365.
[2] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*. Princeton Mathematical Series, 49. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. xiv+472 pp.
[3] Ko Honda, William H. Kazez and Gordana Matić, *Right-veering diffeomorphisms of compact surfaces with boundary*. Invent. Math. 169 (2007), no. 2, 427-449.
[4] Tetsuya Ito and Keiko Kawamuro, *Essential open book foliations and fractional Dehn twist coefficient*

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, JAPAN

E-mail address: tetito@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

URL: http://www.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp/~tetito/

Department of Mathematics, The University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA 52242, USA

E-mail address: keiko-kawamuro@uiowa.edu